

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,282	02/20/2001	Roger G. Etter	ENV1298-0021	4587	
8698 7:	590 06/11/2003				
	& GILCREST LLP		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SUITE 210	LACE SOUTH		YILDIRIM,	BEKIR L	
DUBLIN, OH	43017		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/763,282	ETTER, ROGER	G
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bekir L. YILDIRIM	1764	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sh	et with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,8,9 and 15-76</u> is/are pending in t	he application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,8,9,15-18 and 34</u>	• •	from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	3.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			•
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •		
Attachment(s)	. ,	••••••••••••	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	• •
2.7			

763, 282 Application/Control Number: 09/556, 132

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 19-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyers (USP $\underline{3917564}$)in view of the conventional knowledge in the art.

Meyers (USP 3917564) teaches a process for making regular coke, wherein sludges and other organic by-products of industrial and community activity which present difficulties due to the presence of dispersed solids are put to useful purpose upon injection of such by-products diluted with added water to a delayed coker as aqueous quench medium (abstract). The exemplified cokes produced may have VCM of as high as 20% (see examples 3-5).

It is acknowledged that Meyers does not mention spongy porous or adsorptive properties of its coke. It would have been obvious however to one having ordinary skill in the art that, in the absence of Myers disclosing otherwise, the process described therein would be expected to produce regular or "sponge" coke, the normal product of the delayed coking process. See for

763,282

Application/Control Number: 09/556,132

Art Unit: 1764

example the following references for the definition and description of such cokes:

LeCours et al. (USP6,024,863)col. 5, lines 22-30, 63-68. Greenwalt (USP 5,259,864), col. 1, lines 35-45. Ohshol et al. (USP 5,954,949) col. 1, line 65 - col. 2, line 20).

Adams et al. (USP 5,110,448) col. 1, lines 33-40.

Kapner et al. (USP 4,406,872) col. 1, lines 28-38.

Hsu et al. (USP 4,291,008) col. 3, lines 44-49.

Adams et al. (USP 5,110,448) col. 1, lines 29-40

3. Claims 19-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shroeder (USP 3,960,701) or Zse et al. (USP 4,326,853) or Grindstaff et al. (USP 4,369,171) or Schlinger et al. (USP 3,852,047) or Hayashi et al., each in view of Meyers (USP 3917564), Scalliet (USP 6,056,882), Bartilucci et al. (USP 4,874,505) and Yan (USP 4,096,097).

Shroeder (USP 3,960,701) teaches the conversion of non-coking coals into coking coals, by eliminating or reducing sulfur and oxygen in the coking structure and adding hydrogen, wherein the coke product have VCM content of 10-25 % and the product can be calcined to make metallurgical grade coke (col. 2, lines 19-34, col. 2, line 65 - col. 3, line 2).

Art Unit: 1764

Zse et al. (USP 4,326,853) teaches a delayed coking process to make regular petroleum coke, which has a VCM content of 16-30%. (see abstract).

Grindstaff et al. (USP 4,369,171) teaches regular cokes with volatile combustible matter contents of $8.8-21.8\ \%$ (Table 1).

Schlinger et al. (USP 3,852,047) teaches an improvement to regular coke making process by delayed coking wherein calcinable regular or "sponge" petroleum coke clusters having VCM of 8-29.5% are formed (Table II, col. 10, lines 55-58).

Hayashi et al. teaches a regular grade, or "sponge' coke having volatile matter content of 8-20 % (see claim 6).

It is acknowledged that none of the Shroeder et al., Zse et al., Schlinger et al., Grindstaff et al. Or Hayashi et al. references teach the step of adding "additives" during the quench cycle.

Meyers (USP 3917564) teaches a process for making regular coke, wherein sludges and other organic by-products of industrial and community activity which present difficulties due to the presence of dispersed solids are put to useful purpose upon injection of such by-products diluted with added water to a delayed coker as aqueous quench medium (abstract). The exemplified cokes produced may have VCM of as high as 20% (see examples 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 09/556,132

Art Unit: 1764

Scalliet (USP 6,056,882) also teaches the addition of industrial wastes and sludges to coker quench streams of delayed coking vessel (col. 6, lines 37-46).

Bartilucci et al. (USP 4,874,505) teaches a process for making regular cokes wherein high oil content sludges are added during coking cycle while the low oil content sludges are introduced during the quench cycle (see Table 1).

Yan (USP 4, 096,097) teaches a method for producing high quality "sponge coke" or not to make soot coke wherein, it is disclosed that the addition of 0.5 to 20 percent by weight of an oxygen-containing, carbonaceous material which decomposes under coking conditions, to delayed coker fresh and/or recycle feed promotes the formation of sponge coke. The oxygen-containing, carbonaceous additives are selected from comminuted coal and lignite materials such as bagasse, sugar beet waste, sawdust and other cellulosic wastes (see col. 3, lines 30-60).

It would have been obvious then to produce the sponge coke or regular coke in any of the

It would have been obvious then to modify the coking processes of Shroeder et al., Zse et al., Grindstaff et al., Schlinger et al., or Hayashi et al. As suggested by Meyers et al. Scalliet et al., Bartilucci et al. or Yan, by introducing the sludge or waste materials, during the quench cycle or prior thereto, for et least the self-evident benefit of disposing of

Application/Control Number: 09/956,132-

Art Unit: 1764

environmentally hazardous waste materials, while still meeting the coke quality requirements.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/556,132

Art Unit: 1764

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 19-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,168,709 in view of Meyers (USP $\underline{3917564}$), Scalliet (USP 6,056,882) or Bartilucci et al. (USP 4,874,505).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to essentially the same coking process with the exception of the addition to the quench cycle which is disclosed in the secondary references as discussed above.

6. Claims 19-33 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-44 of copending Application No. 09/763,282.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to essentially the same coking process with the specification of various waste materials, i.e. the recitation of plastic wastes here. The

Application/Control Number: 09/556,13

Art Unit: 1764

invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art since both sets of additives have common elements, e.g. wood wastes.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bekir L. YILDIRIM whose telephone number is (703) 308-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-8:00 (alternating Mondays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3599 for regular communications and (703) 872-9467 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0611.

BLY June 2, 2003

Bekir L. Yildirim
Primary Examiner